

NOVA SCOTIA ENVIROTHON

Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

Scoring Scale: 0 = not at all
 2 = poor
 4 = fair
 6 = good
 8 = very good
 10 = excellent

School: _____

Room #: _____ Time Slot: _____

Judge's Initials: _____

Part 1: Presentation Content and Inclusiveness (40 points maximum)	Circle Score
A. How well did the presentation address or identify:	
• The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and different natural resource management strategies?	0 2 4 6 8 10
• All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?	0 2 4 6 8 10
• The major natural resources areas (aquatics, forestry, soils, water)?	0 1 2 3 4 5
• The current Envirothon theme issue?	0 1 2 3 4 5
• The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?	0 1 2 3 4 5
B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?	0 1 2 3 4 5
PART 1 SUBTOTAL	
Part 2: Application of Data (80 points maximum)	
A. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).	0 2 4 6 8 10
B. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of the ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.	0 2 4 6 8 10
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost and benefits of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.)	0 2 4 6 8 10
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/or cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).	0 2 4 6 8 10
E. The team presented one viable, rational solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.	0 2 4 6 8 10
F. An implementation plan was included	0 2 4 6 8 10
G. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources.	0 2 4 6 8 10
H. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?	0 2 4 6 8 10
PART 2 SUBTOTAL	
PART 3: Quality of the Presentation (60 points maximum)	
A. Presentation: Strong opening	0 2 4 6 8 10
Logical presentation of evidence	0 2 4 6 8 10
Rational conclusion based on evidence presented	0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism. etc.)	0 2 4 6 8 10
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)	0 2 4 6 8 10
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.	0 2 4 6 8 10
PART 3 SUBTOTAL	
Part 4: Teamwork (20 points)	
A. Add up to ten points for team member participants in presentation. (Each team member gets up to 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation.)	0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Add up to five points if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time scale and the team made effective use of their time. (Time scale is max. 10 mins.)	0 1 2 3 4 5
C. Add up to five points if the team demonstrated mutual respect and support	0 1 2 3 4 5
PART 4 SUBTOTAL	
<p style="text-align: center;">Time Point System</p> <p>5 points if 9 to 10 minutes 4 points if 8 or more and < 9 minutes 3 points if 7 or more and < 8 minutes 2 points if 6 or more and < 7 minutes 1 point if 5 or more and < 6 minutes</p>	<p>FINAL SCORE:</p> <p>Total Points for Part I (40 max) _____</p> <p>Total Points for Part II (80 max) _____</p> <p>Total Points for Part III (60 max) _____</p> <p>Total Points for Part IV (20 max) _____</p> <p>Total Score(max 200) _____</p> <p>Final Score _____ (out of 200 points)</p>